



### ICCMHC Winter Conference February 17, 2011



Managing Health Care Reform Benefit Changes within your Own Organization

John F. Gause, President jgause@apexbg.com

## **Overview**

- Health Care Reform
  - Short Term Impact
  - Long Term Impact
- Action Steps Now To Reduce Health Care Costs
- Wellness / Clinics
- Data Analysis
- Questions

### **Health Care Reform**

- Federal legislation enacted March 23, 2010 and March 30, 2010; collectively called the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act "PPACA" or the "Affordable Care Act"
- Implemented through various sets of interim final regulations jointly issued by Departments of Health and Human Services, Labor and Treasury beginning in May of 2010

### **Cost Implications for 2010 and 2011**

- Over-the-counter medicine and drugs no longer eligible for tax-free reimbursement from health flexible spending accounts and health savings accounts
- 0 to 3% Extension of Dependent Coverage
- 0 to 1.5% Lifetime Limits and Annual Maximums
- Loss Ratio Standards: Insurers must provide loss ratio rebates to enrollees if medical loss ratio is less than 85% for fully insured plans
- No Impact: Recissions, Preventative Services, Uniform Coverage Documentation and access, Prohibition on Preexisting Condition Exclusions for Kids\*

\* Applies to group coverage only

Apex Benefits Group, Inc.

### Grandfathered Plan Status Considerations for 2010 and 2011 Renewals

- Grandfathered plan status allows plan to escape some mandates applicable only to "new" plans
- Is it worth it?
  - Regulators have made it difficult to maintain grandfathered plan status if any substantive plan design or cost changes are made in future years

### **Grandfathered Plan Status Changes Causing Loss**

- Any reduction or elimination of plan benefits (as compared to 3/23/10)
- Any increase in coinsurance percentage (as compared to 3/23/10)
- A decrease in the employer's contribution to total cost of plan coverage by more than 5 percentage points (as compared to 3/23/10)

### **Grandfathered Plan Status Changes Causing Loss**

- Any new annual limit or decrease in an existing annual limit in a health plan (as compared to 3/23/10)
- An increase in the plan's fixed-dollar copayment by more than \$5 plus medical inflation (as compared to 3/23/10)
- An increase in plan deductibles by more than medical inflation plus 15% (as compared to 3/23/10)

### **Additional Mandates Applicable in Future Years**

- Automatic enrollment of new full-time employees required (no effective date yet)
- Uniform summary of coverage must be issued (effective March 23, 2012)
- Health flexible spending account maximum elections limited to \$2,500 annually (effective January 1, 2012)

### **Additional Mandates Applicable in Future Years**

- In 2012, businesses must include on FORM W-2 the value of health care benefits the employer provides to employee
  - This includes: Medical, Dental & Vision; Prescription; Executive physicals; On-site clinics; Medicare supplemental policies; Employee assistance programs
  - This does NOT include: Flexible spending accounts; Health Savings accounts; Specific disease or hospital/fixed indemnity plans
- Health plan waiting periods cannot exceed 90 days (effective January 1, 2014)

### **Employer Responsibility Provisions**

- Commonly called "play or pay" provisions
- Effective January 1, 2014
- Employers must provide health coverage to full-time employees (FTEs) (30+ hours per week) or pay penalty tax
  - Small employer exemption provided to employers with fewer than 50 FTEs.

### Penalty if Coverage Not Offered

- If an employer with 50 or more FTEs:
  - does not offer "minimum essential coverage" to FTEs (and dependents), and
  - at least one of the employer's FTEs is enrolled in a qualified health plan and is receiving a premium tax credit or cost-sharing reduction for that coverage,
  - then the employer will pay a monthly penalty of \$166.67 per FTE (\$2,000 per year)
- First 30 FTEs are not counted for purposes of calculating penalty tax

### Penalty May Be Imposed Even if Coverage Is Offered

- Employers offering minimum essential coverage will still be subject to a penalty tax if coverage is "unaffordable"
- Coverage is unaffordable if:
  - Employees opt out of employer coverage and obtain coverage through an exchange, and
  - Employee qualifies for premium credit or cost-sharing reduction for coverage in the exchange
    - Employee will qualify if his required contribution for employmentbased coverage exceeds 9.5% of household income, or employer contributes less than 60% of total cost of employer's plan

### Amount of Penalty Tax if Employer Offers "Unaffordable" Coverage

- For each employee receiving premium credit or costsharing adjustment in the exchange, the penalty tax is equal to \$250 per month (\$3,000 annually), but is only assessed on the employees who decline employer coverage and receive a premium credit or cost-sharing adjustment through the exchange
- This is higher than the penalty if no coverage is offered, but subject to a cap
  - Maximum penalty will be amount of penalty tax the employer would have been assessed if no coverage offered
  - No penalty is assessed if employer provides a free choice voucher to employees for whom coverage is unaffordable



#### Apex Benefits Group, Inc.

### Other Notable Long-Term Provisions Of Health Care Reform

- Individual responsibility mandate imposed beginning in 2014; individuals are assessed a tax penalty (up to 2.5% of household income) for failure to purchase insurance for themselves and children under age 18
- State run insurance "exchanges" implemented by January 1, 2014 to allow marketplace for purchasing minimum essential coverage; all insurers licensed in a state must participate
- Tax on "Cadillac" Plans implemented in 2018
  - 40% excise tax on all employment-based plans exceeding an aggregate value determined by statute (currently \$10,200 for single and \$27,500 for family coverage)

### **Impact on Employer-Based System**

- It is unlikely that federal health reform will result in widespread termination of employer-based coverage.
- If an employer has contributed towards health insurance in the past, most employees will not passively allow an employer to drop coverage completely unless the employee is adequately compensated.
- Employer-provided health coverage is generally not taxable to an employee. If an employer has historically contributed \$12,000 towards the cost of a family plan, that employer will need to raise taxable wages higher than \$12,000 to adequately compensate the employee.
- In addition, the employer would be required to pay the \$2,000 per employee penalty.

# Health Care Reform Judicial Brief

• 26 States have pending lawsuits

### Mixed results

- Federal courts in Michigan and Virginia ruled law is constitutional
- One federal court in Virginia ruled the individual mandate unconstitutional
- January 31, 2011 ruling of in a Florida federal court said entire law was unconstitutional
  - Refusal to buy health insurance should not be considered "economic activity" to be regulated under Constitution's commerce clause
  - Because of too many moving parts, it was determined entire law was invalid
  - No injunction on implementation was ordered
- Ultimately, constitutionality of PPACA will be determined by Supreme Court

# Will Congress Repeal Health Care Reform?

- House passed repeal legislation in January, 2011 by a vote of 245 to 189.
- Senate voted to repeal in February 2011, but the attempt failed by a vote of 47 to 51
- Other attempts to prevent full implementation
  - Replace parts of the law
  - Repealing the law piece by piece
  - Blocking funding and regulations

# Will Congress Repeal Health Care Reform?

### Provisions of the law likely to be revised or repealed

- Form 1099 reporting for payments in excess of \$600
- Employer mandate
- Individual mandate
- Cadillac Plan tax
- Tax on medical device manufacturers
- Medicare cuts
- Opponents seek changes to the insurance exchanges to give states more power in designing them
- May not be practical to keep some parts of the law while repealing other parts

# Health Care Reform's Impact on Indiana

- Coverage for an additional 32 million of 54 million uninsured nationwide
- Congressional Budget Office national estimate \$940 billion over 10 years
- Additional 500,000 enrolled in Medicaid program in Indiana which means 1 in every 4 Indiana residents
- Additional 10 year cost to the State of Indiana as much as \$3.6 billion
- Creates Insurance Exchanges—State in process of determining whether to create in-house or default the federal program

# Health Care Reform: Staying in Compliance



How does it Help?

It solves the four most common compliance problems:

- 1. Where do I find the information I need?
- 2. What do I do, and when do I do it?
- 3. Who will make sure it gets done?
- 4. How do I document our compliance efforts?

## Action Steps Now: Reduce Health Care Costs

- Introducing or Expanding Consumerism
- Improving Employee Education and Communication
- Contribution Strategies
- Plan Design
- Dependent Eligibility and Cost Share
- Dependent Audit
- Encourage Use of Generic Drugs
- Evaluate Network Discounts (see case studies)

### Case Study A: Per Employee Per Year Cost Results Versus Trend



\*Milliman is an actuarial firm that publishes nationwide employee benefit statistics \*\* Year Apex engaged

### Case Study B: Per Employee Per Year Cost Results Versus Trend



\*Milliman is an actuarial firm that publishes nationwide employee benefit statistics \*\* Year Apex engaged

Apex Benefits Group, Inc.

# Wellness

### **Evaluating Processes**

- Are "the right" people engaged?
- Are they engaged in "the right" activities?
- How frequently are they engaged?
- How intensely are they engaged?

### **Evaluating Outcomes**

- □ Is risk status changing?
- □ Is health status changing?
- Are utilization patterns changing?
- □ Are costs changing?

# Clinics

- On-site
- Near-site
- County Cooperative
- Cost



### Integration + data analysis = cost savings



Apex Benefits Group, Inc.

# **About Apex Benefits Group, Inc.**

- A leading benefits consulting firm headquartered in Indianapolis
- Endorsed consulting firm for the Indiana Council of Community Mental Health Centers
- Client advocate and trusted partner
- Provides customized solutions with an on-going process for improvement to achieve optimal bottom line results
- Clients include private and publicly traded companies, health care facilities, government entities and schools



